CPQ Neurology and Psychology (2022) 5:2 Commentary



The Difficulty with Pandemic Response

Christopher Phillips, D.

Department of Neurology, Vascular Neurology, Epilepsy, Phillips Neurological Institute, LLC University, USA

*Correspondence to: Dr. Christopher Phillips, D., Department of Neurology, Vascular Neurology, Epilepsy, Phillips Neurological Institute, LLC University, USA.

Copyright

© 2022 Dr. Christopher Phillips, D.. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Received: 05 July 2022 Published: 15 July 2022

Keywords: Coronavirus; COVID-19; SARS- CoV 2; Pandemic Response; WHO; CDC; Viruses; Public

Health

In the fall of 2020 an epic hoax was perpetrated on the people of the world. Medical bureaucrats bypassed the medical community, colluding with state organizations such as the Centers for Disease Control (CDC), World Health Organization (WHO), and the World Economic Forum (WEF) to declare a worldwide pandemic of a SARS COV-2 which was later classified COVID-19.

Since the pandemic declaration, state agencies, nongovernmental organizations (NGO'S), and so called public health administrations executed a well co-ordinated response that cleverly undermined the physician/patient relationship which is the cornerstone of moral and ethical medical principles required for accountability of medical professionals. Preceding this was the elimination of liability of pharmaceutical companies products. Monetary incentives were provided to healthcare organizations and hospitals. These factors have combined to cause arguably the greatest crime against humanity in the 21st century.

The pandemic response by these "state actors" including mandating: diagnosis by PCR, prolonged mask usage, isolation of "affected" and non affected individuals (lockdowns), and experimental pharmaceuticals (inappropriately classified as "vaccines") have exerted incalculable damage to the population without any benefit as measured by their own statistics of infection, transmission, hospitalizations, or death.

The available evidence, then as now, argues against any of the above measures. Furthermore, the fact that the measures were prepared years before the declared pandemic invites suspicion as to the real purpose. Firstly,

it is important to realize that while bacteria have been isolated in vivo and in vitro, viruses have not. Viruses as a cause of disease and transmission remains theoretical. As such, it is important to consider alternative theories of disease before treating patients and setting policy enforced with the threat of force.

Secondly, the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) test cannot diagnose infection or disease as even it's founder, Dr. Mullis has stated publicly. Thirdly, prolonged mask wearing is known to increase hypercapnia, hypoxia, atypical infections, and bacterial pneumonia. Additionally, simple face coverings and surgical masks cannot prevent pathogens of the size typical of viruses.

Fourthly, obviously the data does not support that isolation (lockdowns) have been effective. Lastly, experimental pharmaceuticals were administered without informed consent and discussing it's many potential adverse reactions and side effects.

In conclusion, medical and scientific methods that are usually employed when informing patients of therapeutics and treatment were ignored, information redacted, and medical policy enacted through fraud and malice. All involved should be investigated for crimes that may have been committed [1].

Bibliography

1. Blaylock, R. L. (2022). COVID Update: What is the truth? Surg Neurol Int., 13, 167.